KCL-PH-TH/2013-7

Constraints on NonCommutative Spectral Action from Gravity Probe B

Gaetano Lambiase[a,b,c], Mairi Sakellariadou[d], and Antonio Stabile[a,b]
[a]*Dipartimento di Fisica "E.R. Caianiello", Universitá di Salerno, 84084 Fisciano (Sa), Italy.*
[b]*INFN, Sezione di Napoli Italy.*
[c]*International Institute for Advanced Scientific Studies, 89019 Vietri sul Mare (SA), Italy.*
[d]*Department of Physics, King's College London, University of London, Strand WC2R 2LS, London, United Kingdom*

(Dated: February 12, 2013)

Noncommutative spectral geometry offers a purely geometric explanation for the standard model of particle physics, including a geometric explanation for the origin of the Higgs field. Within this framework, gravity together with the electroweak and the strong forces are all described as purely gravitational forces on a unified noncommutative spacetime. In this letter, we infer a constraint on the parameter characterising the coupling constants at unification, by linearising the field equations in the limit of weak gravitational fields generated by a rotating gravitational source and by making use of the recent experimental data obtained by Gravity Probe B. We find a lower bound on the Weyl term appearing in the noncommutative spectral action, namely $\beta \gtrsim 1.1 \times 10^{-6}\mathrm{m}^{-1}$, which is much stronger than any limit imposed so far to curvature squared terms.

PACS numbers: 0.4.25.Nx, 04.80.Cc, 11.10.Nx, 11.15.-q, 12.10.-g

arXiv:1302.2336v1 [gr-qc] 10 Feb 2013

INTRODUCTION

To unify all interactions including gravity, NonCommutative Spectral Geometry (NCSG) [1, 2] proposes that near the Planck energy scale, geometry is given by the product of a four-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M}$ (describing the geometry of spacetime) and a discrete space $\mathcal{M}$ (describing the internal space of the particle physics model) composed by only two points. The noncommutative nature of $\mathcal{F}$ is encoded in the spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}, D_\mathcal{F})$. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F} = C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ of smooth functions on $\mathcal{M}$ is an involution of operators on the finite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}$ of Euclidean fermions; it plays the rôle of the algebra of coordinates. The operator $D_\mathcal{F}$ is the Dirac operator $\not{\partial}_\mathcal{M} = \sqrt{-1}\gamma^\mu\nabla^s_\mu$ on the spin manifold $\mathcal{M}$; it corresponds to the inverse of the Euclidean propagator of fermions and is given by the Yukawa coupling matrix. The spectral geometry is thus given by the product rules: $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{M}, S) \oplus \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{M} \oplus 1 + \gamma_5 \oplus \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{F}$, where $L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$ is the Hilbert space of L^2 spinors and $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{M}$ is the Dirac operator of the Levi-Civita spin connection on $\mathcal{M}$.

To obtain the NCSG action we apply the spectral action principle to $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{F}$. The bare bosonic Euclidean action is $\mathrm{Tr}(f(D/\Lambda))$ (with f a test function of compact support), while the fermionic term can be included in the action functional by adding $(1/2)\langle J\psi, D\psi\rangle$ (with J a real structure on the spectral triple and ψ a spinor in the Hilbert space of the quarks and leptons).

Using heat kernel methods and that f vanishes at zero, the asymptotic expansion of the spectral action reads

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f(D/\Lambda)) \sim 2\Lambda^4 f_4 a_0 + 2\Lambda^2 f_2 a_2 + f_0 a_4 \; ; \qquad (1)$$

the cutoff function f plays a rôle only through its momenta f_0, f_2, f_4, which are three real parameters, related to the coupling constants at unification, the gravitational constant, and the cosmological constant, respectively. This action has to be considered as the bare action at unification scale; to make extrapolations to lower energy scales one has to use renormalisation group equations and consider nonperturbative effects in the NCSG action.

The choice of $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}$ is the underlying input which determines the physical implications of the model, in particular the particle content of the theory. In Ref. [3] it has been chosen so that it leads to the Standard Model (SM). The NCSG model offers a purely geometric approach to the SM of particle physics, where the fermions provide the Hilbert space of a spectral triple for the algebra and the bosons are obtained through inner fluctuations of the Dirac operator of the product $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{F}$ geometry. This model lives by construction at the Grand Unified Theories (GUTs) scale, offering a natural framework to study early universe cosmology [4]-[12]. The assumption of an internal space composed by only two points is essential in order to accommodate the gauge symmetries of the SM; moreover it incorporates the seeds of quantisation [13].

The gravitational part of the asymptotic formula for the bosonic sector of the NCSG action including the coupling between the Higgs field ϕ and the Ricci curvature scalar R, in Lorentzian signature, reads [3]

$$S^{\mathrm{L}}_{\mathrm{grav}} = \int \bigg(\frac{R}{2\kappa_0^2} + \alpha_0 C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \tau_0 R^\star R^\star + \xi_0 R|\mathbf{H}|^2 \bigg) \sqrt{-g}\,\mathrm{d}^4x \; ; \qquad (2)$$

$\mathbf{H} = (\sqrt{af_0}/\pi)\phi$ with a a parameter related to fermion and lepton masses and lepton mixing. At unification scale (set up by Λ), $a_0 = -3f_0/(10\pi^2)$. Neglecting the nonminimal coupling between the Higgs field and the

Ricci curvature, the NCSG equations of motion are [4]

$$G^{\mu\nu}_{(\mathrm{NCSG})} = \kappa^2 T^{\mu\nu}_{(\mathrm{matter})}\,, \qquad (3)$$

where $\kappa^2 \equiv 8\pi G$ and

$$G^{\mu\nu}_{(\mathrm{NCSG})} \equiv G^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\beta^2}[2\nabla_\lambda \nabla\kappa C^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} + C^{\mu\lambda\nu\kappa}R_{\lambda\kappa}]\,; \qquad (4)$$

$G^{\mu\nu}$ is the (zeroth order) Einstein tensor, $T^{\mu\nu}_{\mathrm{matter}}$ the energy-momentum tensor of matter, $\beta^2 = 5\pi^2/(6\kappa^2 f_0)$. Using the Bianchi identity $\nabla^\sigma R_{\mu\lambda\nu\sigma} = -\nabla_\lambda R_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu R_{\lambda\nu}$ and $2\nabla^\sigma R_{\lambda\sigma} = \nabla_\lambda R$, the second term above reads

$$\begin{aligned} 2\nabla^\lambda \nabla^\sigma C_{\mu\lambda\nu\sigma} + C_{\lambda\mu\sigma\nu}R^{\lambda\sigma} = \\ -\Box\left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{6}g_{\mu\nu}R\right) + \frac{1}{3}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu R - 2R_{\mu\rho}R_\nu{}^\rho + \\ +\frac{2}{3}R\,R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\left(R_{\alpha\beta}R^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{R^2}{3}\right)\,, \qquad (5)\end{aligned}$$

where $\Box \equiv \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu$.

The aim of this paper is to constraint the parameter β^2, which corresponds to a restriction on the particle physics at unification, by making use of recent results obtained from Gravity Probe B satellite. The satellite contains a set of gyroscopes (in low circular polar orbit with altitude $h = 650$ km) that, according to general relativity, will undergo a geodesic precession in the orbital plane, as well as a Lense-Thirring precession in the plane of the Earth equator. The Lense-Thirring precession is related to the off diagonal components of the metric tensor of a rotating gravitational source, so its experimental verification will test the Einstein theory for gravitation. The values (in units of milliarcsec/year) of the geodesic precession and the Lense-Thirring (frame-dragging) precession measured by the Gravity Probe B satellite and those predicted by General Relativity are [8]

Effect	Measured	Predicted
Geodesic precession	6602 ± 18	6606
Lense-Thirring precession	37.2 ± 7.2	39.2

We will thus extend previous studies [9, 10] of one of us and collaborators, where by using recent observations of pulsar timing, we were able to set $\beta \geq 7.55 \times 10^{-13}\mathrm{m}^{-1}$.

GRAVITATIONAL FIELD IN NCSG

Consider linear perturbations $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \gamma_{\mu\nu}$ around a Minkowski background metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, so that to first order $g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \gamma^{\mu\nu}$. Defining $\Box_\eta \equiv \partial_\rho\partial^\rho$ and $\bar\gamma_{\mu\nu} \equiv \gamma_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\gamma$ with $\gamma = \gamma^\mu{}_\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu}\gamma_{\mu\nu}$, the $G^{\mu\nu}_{(\mathrm{NCSG})}$ is corrected by higher order contributions; in the Lorentz (synchronous) gauge $\partial_\mu \bar\gamma^{\mu\nu} = 0$, it assumes the form [9]

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\mu\nu}_{(\mathrm{NCSG})} = \\ -\frac{1}{2}\Box_\eta \bar\gamma^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\beta^2}\left[\Box_\eta\bar\gamma^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\eta^{\mu\nu}\Box_\eta - \partial^\mu\partial^\nu\right)\gamma\right] \qquad (6)\end{aligned}$$

Introducing the tensor [9]

$$\bar h_{\mu\nu} = \bar\gamma_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3\beta^2}\,\mathcal{Q}^{-1}\left(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box_\eta - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu\right)\gamma\,, \qquad (7)$$

with $\mathcal{Q} \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Box_\eta$, the trace of $\bar h_{\mu\nu}$ reads [9]

$$\bar h = -\left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^2}\,\mathcal{Q}^{-1}\Box_\eta\right)\gamma = -\mathcal{Q}^{-1}\gamma\,. \qquad (8)$$

In terms of $\bar h^{\mu\nu}$ the linearised NCSG equations of motion read [9]

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Box_\eta\right)\Box_\eta \bar h^{\mu\nu} = -2\kappa^2 T^{\mu\nu}_{\mathrm{matter}}\,; \qquad (9)$$

$T^{\mu\nu}_{\mathrm{matter}}$ is taken to lowest order in $\gamma^{\mu\nu}$, so that it is independent of $\gamma^{\mu\nu}$ and satisfies the conservation equation $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{(\mathrm{matter})} = 0$. We restrict to $\alpha_0 < 0$ for Minkowski to be a stable vacuum of the theory [9], implying $\beta^2 > 0$.

Defining the tensor $\chi^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Box_\eta \bar h^{\mu\nu}$, which satisfies

$$(\Box_\eta - \beta^2)\chi^{\mu\nu} = 2\kappa^2 T^{\mu\nu}_{(\mathrm{matter})}\,, \qquad (10)$$

Equation (9) implies

$$\Box_\eta(\bar h^{\mu\nu} - \chi^{\mu\nu}) = -2\kappa^2 T^{\mu\nu}_{(\mathrm{matter})}\,, \qquad (11)$$

which has the same form as the linearised equation for Einstein's theory of General Relativity (GR) in the synchronous gauge and hence its solution can be written as

$$\bar h^{\mu\nu} = \bar\gamma^{\mu\nu}_{(\mathrm{GR})} + \chi^{\mu\nu}\,. \qquad (12)$$

From Eqs. (7), (12) and writing $\gamma = -\mathcal{Q}(\bar\gamma_{(\mathrm{GR})} + \chi)$, with $\bar\gamma_{(\mathrm{GR})} = -\gamma_{(\mathrm{GR})}$ and $\chi = \chi^\mu_\mu$, we get

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{\mu\nu(\mathrm{GR})} + \bar\chi_{\mu\nu} + \phi_{\mu\nu}\,, \qquad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar\chi_{\mu\nu} &= \chi_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\chi\,, & (14)\\ \phi_{\mu\nu} &= -\left[\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}(1 - \mathcal{Q}) - \Omega\right](\gamma_{(\mathrm{GR})} - \chi)\,, & (15)\\ \Omega &\equiv \frac{1}{3\beta^2}(\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box_\eta - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\,. & (16)\end{aligned}$$

In what follows we shall compute the terms in Eq. (13).

The $\bar\gamma^{\mu\nu}_{(\mathrm{GR})}$ metric fulfils the equation

$$\Box_\eta \gamma^{\mu\nu}_{(\mathrm{GR})} = -2\kappa^2\left(T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}T\right)\,, \qquad (17)$$

where $T_{(\mathrm{matter})}$ is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor, with solution

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu(\mathrm{GR})}(\mathbf{r}) = -2\kappa^2 \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \frac{\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} \,, \tag{18}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}') \equiv T_{\mu\nu(\mathrm{matter})}(\mathbf{r}') - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}T_{(\mathrm{matter})}(\mathbf{r}')$. For a rotating source producing a static gravitational field:

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{(\mathrm{matter})}(\mathbf{r}) = -\rho(\mathbf{r})u^\mu u^\nu \,; \tag{19}$$

u^μ is the four velocity ($u^\mu u_\mu = -1$) and $\rho = T_{(\mathrm{matter})}$ is the time independent matter density referred to the frame rotating with the source. Setting the origin of coordinates at the centre of mass, we get for large r:

$$\frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r^3}\sum_{i=1}^{3} x^i x'^{\,i} + \ldots \tag{20}$$

where $r = |\mathbf{r}|$ and $\mathbf{r} = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$. Hence, we obtain the standard GR result (dipole approximation)

$$\gamma_{00(\mathrm{GR})} = \frac{2GM}{r} \,, \quad \gamma_{ij(\mathrm{GR})} = \frac{2GM}{r}\delta_{ij} \,,$$
$$\gamma_{0i(\mathrm{GR})} = \gamma_{i0(\mathrm{GR})} = -\frac{4G}{r^3}(\mathbf{r}\wedge\mathbf{J})_i \,, \tag{21}$$

where

$$M = \int \rho(\mathbf{r}')d^3\mathbf{r}' \,, \quad \mathbf{J} = \int \rho(\mathbf{r}')\left[\mathbf{r}'\wedge\mathbf{v}\right]d^3\mathbf{r}' \,. \tag{22}$$

Note that we have neglected the kinetic energy term being of the second order in the spatial velocity.

Using the series expansion

$$\frac{e^{-\beta|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} \simeq e^{-\beta r}\left[\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1+\beta r}{r^3}\sum_{i=1}^{3} x^i x'^{\,i} + \ldots\right] \,, \tag{23}$$

the solution

$$\chi_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}) = 2\kappa^2 \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \frac{T_{\mu\nu(\mathrm{matter})}(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}\, e^{-\beta|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} \,, \tag{24}$$

of Eq. (10) can be explicitly written as

$$\chi_{00} = -4GM\frac{e^{-\beta r}}{r} \,, \quad \chi_{ij} \sim \mathcal{O}(v^i v^j) \sim 0 \,,$$
$$\chi_{0i} = \chi_{i0} = 4G\frac{(1+\beta r)e^{-\beta r}}{r^3}\,(\mathbf{r}\wedge\mathbf{J})_i \,. \tag{25}$$

Hence, in the dipole approximation, we get

$$\bar{\chi}_{00} = -2GM\frac{e^{-\beta r}}{r} \,, \quad \bar{\chi}_{ij} = -2GM\frac{e^{-\beta r}}{r}\delta_{ij} \,,$$
$$\bar{\chi}_{0i} = \bar{\chi}_{i0} = 4G\frac{(1+\beta r)e^{-\beta r}}{r^3}\,(\mathbf{r}\wedge\mathbf{J})_i \,. \tag{26}$$

For a static gravitational field, the nonvanishing components of $\phi_{\mu\nu}$ are ϕ_{00} and ϕ_{ij} given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{00} &= -\frac{2GM}{3}\frac{e^{-\beta r}}{r} \,, \\ \phi_{ij} &= \frac{4GM}{3\beta^2 r^3}\left\{\left[1-\left(1+\beta r-\frac{\beta^2 r^2}{2}\right)e^{-\beta r}\right]\delta_{ij}\right. \\ &\quad \left. -\,3\frac{x^i x^j}{r^2}\left[1-\left(1+\beta r+\frac{\beta^2 r^2}{3}\right)e^{-\beta r}\right]\right\} , \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where we have used that $\gamma_{(\mathrm{GR})} - \chi = 4GM(1-e^{-\beta r})/r$.

CONSTRAINTS FROM GRAVITY PROBE B

Introducing the metric potentials Φ, Ψ and the vector potential $\mathbf{A}$, the metric reads

$$ds^2 = -(1+2\Phi)dt^2 + 2\mathbf{A}\cdot d\mathbf{x}dt + (1+2\Psi)d\mathbf{x}^2 \,. \tag{28}$$

Assuming that for satellite orbits, the relation $x^i x^j = (r^2/3)\delta_{ij}$ holds on the average, Eq. (27) simplifies to

$$\phi_{ij} = \frac{10GM}{9}\frac{e^{-\beta r}}{r}\,\delta_{ij} \,. \tag{29}$$

In terms of Φ, Ψ, A_i, the components of $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{00} &= -2\Phi = \frac{2GM}{r}\left(1-\frac{4}{3}e^{-\beta r}\right) \,, \qquad (30) \\ \gamma_{0i} &= \gamma_{i0} = A_i \\ &= -\frac{4G}{r^3}[1-(1+\beta r)e^{-\beta r}](\mathbf{r}\wedge\mathbf{J})_i \,, \\ \gamma_{ij} &= 2\Psi\delta_{ij} \\ &= \frac{2GM}{r}\left[1-\frac{4}{9}e^{-\beta r}\right]\delta_{ij} \,. \qquad (31) \end{aligned}$$

and the non-vanishing Christoffel symbols read

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^0_{0i} &= \Gamma^i_{00} = -\partial_i\Phi \,, \Gamma^i_{0j} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i) \,, \\ \Gamma^i_{jk} &= \delta_{jk}\partial_i\Psi - \delta_{ij}\partial_k\Psi - \delta_{ik}\partial_j\Psi \,. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Splitting the rate of an orbiting gyroscope precession into a part generated by the metric potentials and one generated by the vector potential, we get the following spin equation of motion for the gyro spin three-vector $\mathbf{S}$ [14]:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} = \left.\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt}\right|_{\mathrm{G}} + \left.\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt}\right|_{\mathrm{LT}} \,, \tag{33}$$

where the instantaneous geodesic precession is

$$\left.\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt}\right|_{\mathrm{G}} = \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathrm{G}}\wedge\mathbf{S} \;\; \text{with} \;\; \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathrm{G}} = \frac{1}{2}[\nabla(\Phi-2\Psi)]\wedge\mathbf{v} \tag{34}$$

and the instantaneous Lense-Thirring precession is

$$\left.\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt}\right|_{\mathrm{LT}} = \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathrm{LT}}\wedge\mathbf{S} \;\; \text{with} \;\; \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathrm{LT}} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla\wedge\mathbf{A} \,. \tag{35}$$

The geodesic (Lense-Thirring) precession, $\mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm G}(\mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm LT})$, can be written as the sum of two terms, one obtained with GR and the other being the NCSG contribution. Thus,

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm G} = \mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm G(GR)} + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm G(NCG)}\,, \tag{36}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm G(GR)} &= \frac{3GM}{2r^3}(\mathbf{r}\wedge\mathbf{v})\,, \\ \mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm G(NCSG)} &= -\frac{20}{27}(1+\beta r)\,e^{-\beta r}\mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm G(GR)}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm LT} = \mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm LT(GR)} + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm LT(NCSG)}\,, \tag{38}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm LT(GR)} &= -\frac{2G}{r^3}\mathbf{J}\,, \\ \mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm LT(NCSG)} &= -e^{-\beta r}(1+\beta r+\beta^2 r^2)\mathbf{\Omega}_{\rm LT(GR)}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where we have assumed that on the average $\langle(\mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{r})\mathbf{r}\rangle = 0$.

We will then use Eqs. (37) and (39) to constrain the parameter β. Here r the sum of the Earth radius $R_\oplus$ and the altitude h of the satellite. Setting the geodesic precession $\Omega_{\rm G(GR)} = 6606$ mas/y and requiring that $|\Omega_{\rm G(NCSG)}| \lesssim \delta\Omega_{\rm G}$, where $\delta\Omega_{\rm G} = 18$ mas/y, we get

$$\beta \gtrsim 1.1\times 10^{-6}{\rm m}^{-1}\,. \tag{40}$$

Note that we get the same lower bound for β from the Lense-Thirring precession, where $\Omega_{\rm LT(GR)} = 39.2$ mas/y and $|\Omega_{\rm LT(NCSG)}| \lesssim \delta\Omega_{\rm LT}$ where $\delta\Omega_{LT} = 7.2$ mas/y.

CONCLUSIONS

In the context of NCSG, we have studied the precession of spin of a gyroscope orbiting about a rotating gravitational source. Such a gravitational field gives rise, according to General Relativity predictions, to the geodesic and the Lense-Thiriing processions, the latter being strictly related to the off-diagonal terms of the metric tensor generated by the rotation of the source. We have focused in particular on the gravitational field generated by the Earth, and on the recent experimental results obtained from the Gravity Probe B satellite, which has tested the geodesic and Lense-Thirring spin precession with high precision. We have calculated the corrections of the precession induced by NCSG corrections. Requiring that the corrections are below the experimental errors, we have inferred a lower bound on β, namely that $\beta \gtrsim 10^{-6}{\rm m}^{-1}$.

We were thus able to constrain the $f_0 = f(0)$ momentum of the cut-off function f, a real parameter related to the coupling constants at unification, by recent observations on geodesic and frame-dragging precession generated by a rotating gravitational source and observed by Gravity Probe B. Note that this is a stronger constraint than the one imposed [10] by studying the energy lost from binary systems via emission of gravitational waves.

[1] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, New York (1994).

[2] A. Connes and M. Marcolli, *Noncommutative Geometry, Quantum Fields and Motives*, Hindustan Book Agency, India (2008).

[3] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes and M. Marcolli, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **11**, 991 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0610241].

[4] W. Nelson and M. Sakellariadou, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 085038 (2010) [arXiv:0812.1657 [hep-th]].

[5] W. Nelson and M. Sakellariadou, Phys. Lett. B **680**, 263 (2009) [arXiv:0903.1520 [hep-th]].

[6] M. Marcolli and E. Pierpaoli, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **14** (2010) [arXiv:0908.3683 [hep-th]].

[7] M. Buck, M. Fairbairn and M. Sakellariadou, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 043509 (2010) [arXiv:1005.1188 [hep-th]]. arXiv:1005.1188;

[8] C. W. F. Everitt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 221101 (2011).

[9] W. Nelson, J. Ochoa and M. Sakellariadou, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 085021 (2010) [arXiv:1005.4276 [hep-th]].

[10] W. Nelson, J. Ochoa and M. Sakellariadou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 101602 (2010) [arXiv:1005.4279 [hep-th]].

[11] M. Sakellariadou, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **20**, 785 (2011) [arXiv:1008.5348 [hep-th]].

[12] M. Sakellariadou, PoS CORFU **2011**, 053 (2011) [arXiv:1204.5772 [hep-th]].

[13] M. Sakellariadou, A. Stabile and G. Vitiello, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 045026 (2011) [arXiv:1106.4164 [math-ph]].

[14] R. J. Adler and A. S. Silbergleit, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **39**, 1291 (2000) [gr-qc/9909054].